# CRACK INVESTIGATION OF ENCAPSULATED SOLAR CELLS UNDER THERMAL AND MECHANICAL STRESSES

Martin Sander

Fraunhofer Center for Silicon Photovoltaics CSP, Otto-Eissfeldt-Strasse 12, Halle (Saale), Germany Tel: +49 (0) 345 5589-5216, Fax: +49 (0) 345 5589-5999, <u>martin.sander@csp.fraunhofer.de</u>

Workshop "Impact of mechanical and thermal loads on the long term stability of PV modules" Institute for Solar Energy Research Hamelin (ISFH), Hamelin, 05<sup>th</sup> November 2013



#### **Motivation**



# Agenda

- Introduction stress in PV modules
- **Experimental Approach**
- **Results and Discussion**
- Conclusions and Outlook



### Introduction **Setup of PV modules**





#### Introduction **Setup of PV modules**

Loads on PV modules can cause defects

- Temperature changes
- Mechanical Loading (Transport, Wind, Snow, etc.)





#### Introduction **Setup of PV modules**

Loads on PV modules can cause defects

- Temperature changes
- Mechanical Loading (Transport, Wind, Snow, etc.)





### Introduction Mechanical load test (MLT)

- MLT during module certification process
- Uniform area load on horizontally mounted PV module
  - Wind load (2400 Pa)
  - Snow load (5400 Pa)
- State of the art in mechanical load testing
  - Approximation of area load through several single loads
  - Apply defined weights (rubber mats, sand bags)
  - Air pressure

Standard IEC 61215 (crystalline PV modules), IEC 61646 (Thin film PV modules)









### Introduction Simulation of PV modules

- Finite element analysis (FEA) of PV module with all relevant materials and layers
- Mechanical properties from literature and experiments (tension test, DMA, dilatometer etc.)
- Simplifications:
  - Symmetry

Scheme of used finite element modell



M. Pander, Masterarbeit, HTWK Leipzig (2009) M. Pander et al., Proceedings EuroSimE (2011) S. Dietrich et al., Proceedings SPIE Optics+Photonics (2010)



### Introduction **Cracks in encapsulated solar cells**

- Simulation uniform area load (5.4 kPa) on standard PV module
- $\rightarrow$  Inhomogeneous stress distribution + stress directions
- $\rightarrow$  Varying percentage of cracks with different crack directions





EL image of a PV-Module after MLT (5.4 kPa) T. Potthoff et al., Workshop PV-Modultechnik, TÜV Rheinland (2008)



### Introduction Cracks in encapsulated solar cells

- Simulation uniform area load (5.4 kPa) on standard PV module
- Inhomogeneous stress distribution + stress directions
- $\rightarrow$  Varying percentage of cracks with different crack directions





# **Experimental approach**



#### **Experimental Approach**

Investigation of cracks under well-known boundary conditions

Separate investigation of mechanical load and temperature changes on adapted test specimens





### **Experimental Approach Test setup for mechanical loading**

- 4-point-bending to induce uniaxial bending and to inspect cells during testing  $\rightarrow$  stress direction is considered
- EL analysis at each load step





Scheme of stress distribution at bottom side of a monolithic beam



## **Experimental Approach**

#### Test setup and test procedure for mechanical loading





#### Experimental Approach Test procedure for mechanical loading

Measurement of load-time dependency and load-displacement dependency



Representative results of a mechanical load test



#### Experimental Approach Evaluation



Comparison with simulation results



- Measured load displacement dependency
  Simulated load displacement dependency
- Simulated load displacement dependency with crack



#### **Experimental Approach Evaluation**



#### **Evaluation of fracture stress**



#### Experimental Approach Evaluation

- Statistical evaluation of crack occurrences
- Implementation of Weibull distribution

$$P_f(\sigma) = 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\theta}}\right)^m}$$

- Determination of characteristic stress σ<sub>θ</sub> and Weibull modulus m
- → "In-laminate strength"





#### **Experimental Approach**



Transformation to full-scale PV modules (Example multi-crystalline cells under 5,4 kPa)

© Fraunhofer-Center für Silizium-Photovoltaik CSP



# Results and Discussion Comparison of different cell types



### Results and Discussion Mechanical loading parallel to busbars





EL image of test specimen: Multi-crystalline, parallel



### **Results and Discussion Mechanical loading perpendicular to busbars**





EL image of test specimen: Multi-crystalline, perpendicular



#### **Mechanical loading: Comparison of different cell types**

- Different crack appearance between mono-crystalline and multi-crystalline cells
- Predominant 45° crack angle in monocrystalline cells -> <110> direction

D. Clarke, Semiconductors and Semimetals, 37 (1992)



Mono-crystalline, perpendicular



Multi-crystalline, perpendicular



Mono-crystalline, parallel

Multi-crystalline, parallel



#### **Mechanical loading: Comparison of different cell types**





**Mechanical loading: Comparison of different cell types** 

- Comparison of different batches by their characteristic strength σ<sub>θ</sub>
- Mono-crystalline cells show highest fracture strength
   R. de Donno, Master thesis (2011)
   M. Paggi *et al.*, Composite Structures 95 (2013)
- Difference in fracture strength between cracks parallel and perpendicular to the busbars -> influence of the soldered interconnector S. Dietrich et al., Proceedings SPIE Optics+

Photonics, (2013)





#### **Mechanical loading: Influence of existing cracks**

#### Influence of existing cracks at a macroscopic scale





#### **Mechanical loading: Influence of existing cracks**

- Influence of existing cracks at a macroscopic scale
- Definition of separate classes for crack occurrences from existing cracks
- $\rightarrow$  Existing cracks reduce the fracture strength significantly
- $\rightarrow$  Should be avoided by any means



🔺 Multikristallin, perpendicular △ Multikristallin, perpendicular, damaged Multikristallin, parallel Multikristallin, parallel, damaged



#### Results and Discussion Transfer to full-scale PV modules

#### Example: Multi-crystalline cells





Strength parameters for

loading perpendicular

 $\sigma_{\theta,x} = 98,4 MPa, m_x = 8,0$ 

 $\sigma_{\theta,v} = 58,8 MPa, m_v = 6,4$ 

and parallel to busbars

In-laminate

strength testing

#### Results and Discussion Transfer to full-scale PV modules

#### Example: Multi-crystalline cells

99



🗾 Fraunhofer

#### **Results and Discussion** Transfer to full-scale PV modules

#### Total:

	69,4	11,9	5,8	5,4	5,4	5,8	11,9	69,4	
11,2	49,1	43,1	33,2	30,2	30,2	33,2	43,1	49,1	11,2
0,2	1,1	1,6	2,2	2,8	2,8	2,2	1,6	1,1	0,2

#### Cracks perpendicular to BB:



30

	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	
1,9	<0,1	0,0	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	0,0	<0,1	1,9
0,1	<0,1	0,0	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	0,0	<0,1	0,1

#### Cracks parallel to BB:

		69,4	11,9	5,8	5,4	5,4	5,8	11,9	69,4	
	9,4	49,1	43,1	33,2	30,2	30,2	33,2	43,1	49,1	9,4
at a stand	<0,1	1,0	1,6	2,2	2,8	2,8	2,2	1,6	1,0	<0,1

#### Probability of failure [%]



Tot	al:			
36,1	38,0	43,5	37,0	59,3
46,3	46,3	52,8	51,9	26,9
42,6	35,2	39,8	30,6	30,6

Cracks perpendicular to BB:

0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0,9
0	0	0	0	5,6

#### Cracks parallel to BB:

32,4	32,4	35,2	19,4	0
33,3	33,3	29,6	17,6	0,9
21,3	22,2	14,8	12,0	3,7

19,4 3,7 14,8 48,2

1,9 6,5 15,7 10,2

2,8 6,5 0.9

45°:

1.9

#### **Dendritic:**

95 (2011)

2,8	1,9	1,9	0,9	4,6
10,2	6,5	5,6	9,3	9,3
10,2	4,6	8,3	3,7	11,1

Analysis of 27 PV modules after MLT (5,4 kPa): Percentage of

cells with at least one crack [%] S. Kajari-Schröder et al.; Solar Energy Materials & Solar Cells,

#### Several directions:

0,9	2,8	2,8	1,9	5,6
0,9	3,7	10,2	9,3	4,6
11,1	6,5	13,9	5,6	8,3



### **Conclusions and Outlook**

- Experimental approach for investigation of cracks under well-known boundary conditions
  - Determination of "In-laminate strength"
  - Quick and efficient method
  - Opportunity for further fields of application



- Comparison of different influences by characteristic strength
  - Cell type (mono, multi, cell thickness, contact layout)
  - Manufacturing conditions (soldering conditions, different encapsulants)
- A probability of failure can be calculated for each cell in a PV module for a specific (complex) load situation → basis for reliability concept and optimization



### **Conclusions and Outlook**

"In-laminate strength" has been found to be very sensitive to changes

- Influences of different soldering conditions and encapsulants
- Decrease of fracture strength after thermal cycling
- Increase of fracture strength after damp heat testing
- Outdoor exposure of test specimens for a certain period of time and correlation of fracture strength
- $\rightarrow$  Determination of acceleration factors
- $\rightarrow$  Insight into occurring mechanisms





# **Thanks for your Attention**

martin.sander@csp.fraunhofer.de

SPONSORED BY THE

Thanks to: Prof. Dr. Holm Altenbach Dr. Matthias Ebert Sascha Dietrich Matthias Pander Frank Wenger Sascha Lindig



Federal Ministry of Education and Research

This work was supported by the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF) within the framework of the Leading-Edge Cluster Competition and Solarvalley Central Germany under contract no. 03SF0400A ("xµ-Module") that is gratefully acknowledged.

